UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/547,540	04/12/2000	William Allocca	23984-13942	5837
758 FENWICK & V	7590 01/05/201 VEST LLP	EXAMINER		
SILICON VALLEY CENTER			GARG, YOGESH C	
801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/547,540	ALLOCCA ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yogesh C. Garg	3625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Yogesh C. Garg</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Rajiv Patel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: See Continuation Sheet		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writton record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Yogesh C Garg/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: It was discussed to file a Supplemental Amnedment by amending independent claims 1, 9, 67, 68 and canceling claims 10, 13-21, 23-27, 29, 31-33, 35-38, 40-41, 50-53, 55-61, 63-65, and 129. It was also discussed and agreed to include the following limitation in claim 1 " in response to receiving a first indication of selection of the element for enabling display of order fulfillment instructions for ordering the identified item, providing for display (i) a plurality of order fulfillment options that are enabled and predefined for a user of the client computer, each order fulfillment option comprising a unique combination of a delivery address, shipping instructions, and a payment source and (ii) a non-enabled fulfillment option that is displayed in a manner that indicates the fulfillment option is not enabled" and to amend the claims 9, 67-68 also accordingly.

.